

Michigan Court Administration Association

MCAA BOARD

President Karen Haydett 32A District Court 313.343.2597

1st Vice President Barry Kantz 58th District Court 616.846.8037

2nd Vice President David Walsh 47th District Court 248.477.5737

Treasurer Tina Keifer 8th District Court 616 321.3633

Recording Secretary Carol Frohriep 3B District Court 616 467.5520

Corresponding Sec Joyce Pagel 73A District Court 810.648.3250

Parliamentarian Rudi Edel 46th Trial Court 989.732.0228

Directors

Jennifer Niemer 14-A District Court

Janine Jung 21st District Court

Linda Gable 29th District Court

Brenda Severeid 60th District Court

Dona Gillson 63rd District Court

Cheryl Jarzabowski 70th District Court August 29, 2005

Mr. Corbin R. Davis Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court P.O. Box 30052 Lansing, Mi. 48909

Re: ADM 2004-42 / MCR 8.110

Dear Mr. Davis;

I am writing on behalf of the $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ ichigan $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ ourt $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ dministrators $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ ssociation which represents Court Administration throughout the state. The proposed amendment for MCR~8.110 would require courts to report state and local misdemeanor cases that have been delayed 91 days as opposed to our current 180 day delay reporting from the arraignment to adjudication.

Courts are already submitting a 180 day monthly report to the State Court Administrators Office for review. It is our understanding this is an <u>exception</u> report. If the cases are properly managed, they will not need to be reported. Majority of the courts have an internal way of tracking these cases prior to reporting them. Besides, with the new *Time Guidelines*, it puts more responsibility on the court to handle cases in a timely manner.

It also appears to the association that this proposed court rule does not take into account that caseloads can vary throughout the state. How is this fair to a court that may hold court only once or twice a month to the same standards where court is held Monday through Friday? What financial hardship would be placed on the State as well as the local funding unit?

Therefore, the $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ ichigan $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ ourt $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ dministrators $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ ssociation respectfully requests that the Honorable Court reject proposed court rule MCR~8.110. Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen Haydett

Karen Haydett

President, Michigan Court Administrators Association